

T.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet

Evaluation by:		NJ	Sheet No.	1 of 1	Date:	19th December 2022					
Address/Site Details:		Seaford Football Club, Crouch Gardens, Seaford									
Tree Ref	Species	Amenity Assessment					Expediency	Total Score	TPO Y/N?	Notes	
		a - Condition	b - Longevity	c - Visibility	Sub total	d - other factors					
T1	Sycamore	3	4	4	11	Trees must have accrued 7+ points (& no zeros) to qualify	4	3	18	Y	Adjacent to entrance path from Bramber Lane
T2	Horse chestnut	5	4	4	13		4	3	20	Y	Adjacent to entrance path from Bramber Lane
T3	Swedish whitebeam	3	1	4	8		4	3	15	Y	Stem wound at 1.5m above ground level. Adjacent to entrance path from Bramber Lane
T4	Horse chestnut	5	4	5	14		4	3	21	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Bramber Road, southern boundary
T5	Sycamore	5	4	5	14		4	3	21	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Bramber Road, southern boundary
T6	Horse chestnut	5	4	5	14		4	3	21	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Bramber Road, southern boundary
T7	Swedish whitebeam	3	2	4	9		4	3	16	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Bramber Road, southern boundary
T8	Sycamore	3	4	4	11		4	3	18	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Bramber Road, southern boundary
T9	Sycamore	3	4	4	11		4	3	18	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Bramber Road, southern boundary
T10	Sycamore	3	4	4	11		4	3	18	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Heathfield Road, eastern boundary
T11	Horse chestnut	3	1	4	8		4	3	15	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Heathfield Road, eastern boundary
G1	3 x Sycamore & 4 x Swedish whitebeam	3	2	4	9		4	3	16	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Heathfield Road, eastern boundary
T12	Ash	3	1	3	7		4	3	14	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Cornfield Road, eastern boundary
T13	Sycamore	3	4	3	10	4	3	17	Y	Adjacent to football pitch and rear of Cornfield Road, eastern boundary	
G2	2x Sycamore & 1x Wild cherry	1	2	4	7	4	3	14	Y	Adjacent to football pith and Mercreed Youth Centre on northern boundary. Stem wounds and die back due to salt scorch	

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition
5) Good (highly suitable)
3) Fair (suitable)
1) Poor (unlikely)
0) Unsafe
0) Dead

b) Longevity
5) 100+
4) 40 – 100
2) 20 – 40 (suitable)
1) 10 – 20 (just suitable)
0) <10 (unsuitable)

c) Relative public visibility
5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (V lge=200sqm+)
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (lge=100-200sqm)
3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm)
2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (Unlikely, small = 5-25sqm)
1) Young/v.small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm)

d) Other factors
5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion
3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance
2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Part 2: Expediency assessment

5) Known threat to tree
3) Foreseeable threat to tree
2) Perceived threat to tree
1) Precautionary only
0) Known as an actionable nuisance

Part 3: Decision guide Any 0 Do not apply TPO 1 – 6 TPO indefensible
7 – 11 Does not merit TPO
12 – 15 Possibly merits TPO 16+ Definitely merits TPO